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Blood Basics

Why is blood management important?

- Red Blood Cell usage costs $4.4 billion a year in the United States\(^1\)
  - 21 million units, $210 per unit
- 1.1% of transfusions have adverse reaction, more than 200,000 adverse reactions a year\(^2\)
- Transfusion-Related Acute Lung Injury (TRALI) occurs in 0.08% of transfusions (~16,500 cases per year)\(^2\)

---
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Can blood management be impactful?

• Blood usage in the United States declined by 13.9% from 2013 to 2015 and has continued this downward trend\(^3,4\)
• 2015: 11,349,000 RBC transfused
• 2017: 10,654,000 RBC transfused\(^5\)

Blood Management and Utilization (BMU)

Advantages of focusing on transfusion reduction:

• Reduction of unnecessary transfusions will:
  • Protect patients
  • Reduce costs
  • Reduce stress on staff
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How can a BMU achieve reduction?

- Reduction is achieved by:
  - Improving/enforcing transfusion trigger criteria
  - Education
  - Changing lab orders
    - Default to 1, not 2
    - Checking HGB between transfusions
  - Medical Staff involvement in the form of a functional Blood Review Committee (BRC)
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What is a BRC and what does it do?

- Blood Review Committees⁶:
  - Provide educational content to practitioners within the facility
  - Establish best-practice standards for transfusion within the facility
  - Monitor and correct transfusion practices falling outside of the established best practices

Note: The proposed is “a way,” not the way.

Blood Review Committee (BRC)

Who should be involved in the BRC?

• Composition of the BRC:
  • Lab Medical Director is generally the chair
  • Blood bank manager/supervisor, generally to act as information gatherer/secretary
  • A provider and a nurse champion from each of the major transfusing departments
  • Facility CMO if possible, CNO if not

Data Collection

What data can guide us to a more effective BRC?

• Transfusions:
  • Number of units transfused in prior year(s)
  • Where those units were transfused (department usage)
  • Which physicians are transfusing (physician usage)
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What data can guide us to more effective BMU?

• Necessity:
  • Average HGB Trigger point
    • Must be recent enough (<4 hours) to be useful
    • If addressing PLT or FFP usage, average PLT count or average INR respectively
  • Blood utilization white papers
    • Review them with your medical director
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Data collection is key to an impactful BRC!

- Categorize all transfusions into buckets:
  - <7 g/dL – Appropriate transfusion, no investigation needed
  - 7-8 g/dL – Check diagnosis/notes for active bleed or other mitigating factors
  - 8-10 g/dL – Extensive investigation/committee review recommended
  - >10 g/dL – There are few instances in which this should occur and should be investigated and reported every time
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Data collection is key to an impactful BRC!

- Facility/Department/Physician average HGB trigger point
- Crossmatch to transfusion ratio by department and physician
- Number of transfusions per physician by physician
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How to obtain HGB average trigger point:

• Average hemoglobin at which transfusion order is given
• HGB should be <4 hours old
• HGB should be taken after every unit is given if patient condition permits
  • This can be defaulted into the lab transfusion order in most LIS

• Recent studies indicate that only transfusing <7 g/dL leads to better 30-day post outcomes than more liberal programs\textsuperscript{7,8}

Can average HGB trigger point be reduced?

• Average HGB should be distilled to lowest level
  • Which department is challenged?
  • Which physician is challenged?
  • Is this consistent month after month, or was it just an outlier?
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Using this information:

- Best physician example:
  - Had two ICU docs that worked 7 on and 7 off alternating
  - Similar patient outcomes
  - One physician transfused 3x as many units as the other

- At the department level:
  - Don’t expect ED or Transfuse to conform to rest of the facility, especially XM/Transfuse ratio
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Physician education:

- Grand Rounds
  - Transfusions are physician driven processes
  - Some just don’t know the best practice
  - Physicians are more likely to attend if CEU’s are available for them
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How to address non-compliance?

- Following BRC review and consensus (get low-hanging fruit)
  - 1st offense: Letter to the physician from the committee with white papers
  - 2nd offense: Discussion with key physician leaders
  - Continued offense: Remove physician’s ability to order blood products

- Truly egregious practices may skip steps
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How can the lab help?

• In addition to data collection:
  • Adjust the default transfusion order to 1 unit
  • Auto-order an H&H with every transfusion order
  • Reduce the critical value
    • Critical call results in ordering units
    • Review and educate deltas to avoid physician push-back
    • Recommend using a delta of >2.0 g/dL within a 24-hour period
    • Recommend a critical value of <6.7 g/dL
  • Develop a Transfusion Review/Utilization order (TRU test)
What is a TRU test?

- TRU tests allow real time review of all transfusions
- The TRU test should be rolled into the T&S/crossmatch order
- Auto-pull latest HGB
- Pull the “reason” for the transfusion (drop down when ordering the unit) if HGB > 7.0 g/dL
- Tech reviews this real-time
- Transfusions outside criteria are flagged for path review
  - Criteria determines if this review is real-time or post-transfusion
- Similar TRU tests can be set for PLT and FFP orders
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Examples:

1) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 7.2 g/dL
   • Physician entry shows that patient is actively bleeding
   • True test is passed, units are crossmatched and issued
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Examples:

1) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 7.2 g/dL
   • Physician entry shows that patient is actively bleeding
   • True test is passed, units are crossmatched and issued

2) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 9.1 g/dL
   • In accordance with policy, tech consults medical director/pathologist in real-time
   • Following MD to MD discussion, pathologist approves order
   • Blood is crossmatched and ready to go
   • Crossmatch flagged for BRC review
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Examples:

1) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 7.2 g/dL
   - Physician entry shows that patient is actively bleeding
   - True test is passed, units are crossmatched and issued

2) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 9.1 g/dL
   - In accordance with policy, tech consults medical director/pathologist in real-time
   - Following MD to MD discussion, pathologist approves order
   - Blood is crossmatched and ready to go
   - Crossmatch flagged for BRC review

3) Crossmatch is ordered on a patient with HGB 7.4 g/dL
   - Physician entry shows that patient is stable
   - Per policy, event is flagged for BRC review
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Quick Review:

- Transfusions are expensive and can result in patient harm
- Transfusions have been on the decline in the US for almost a decade
- Formation of a Blood Review Committee can help
- The lab can directly impact transfusions through
  - Data collection
  - Reducing critical values
  - Changing default orders
  - Auto-ordering HGB’s
  - Developing a TRU test
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