



ALBANY MED Health System

# Automating Urine Culture Plate Reading to Create a More Efficient Workflow

Mary George, Ph.D., D. (ABMM)  
Director Microbiology  
Albany Medical Center  
Albany, NY

# Learning Objectives

- Examine the impact of AI based automation on laboratory time.
- Describe how automation categorizes the difference between significant and non-significant growth from urine cultures.
- Discuss how standalone automation can be installed and integrated into a labs lean workflow.



# Disclosures

**No disclosures**



# Albany Medical Center



766 bed tertiary care  
urban hospital including a  
children's hospital

Level 1 adult trauma center  
Level 1 pediatric trauma center


Albany Medical College

Numerous outpatient practice  
sites

# Microbiology Laboratory

- 25,000 urine cultures/year
- Urine culture assessments performed on 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> shifts on weekdays. 1<sup>st</sup> shift only on weekends and holidays
- 1 FTEE each shift
- 10,000 more urine cultures a year are expected from an affiliate hospital


# How will we manage?



+



# Is automation the answer?





# Where would we put automation?





APAS footprint  
78.74 inches X 31.5 inches



# What is the APAS® Independence?

The Automated Plate Assessment System (APAS) Independence (Clever Culture Systems, Switzerland) is a stand alone in-vitro diagnostic instrument that fully automates culture plate imaging and interpretation.

The APAS reads and interprets microbial cultures using proprietary algorithms for enumeration and classification of growth.

Brenton, L et. al. 2020 Clinical evaluation of the APAS Independence: Automated imaging and interpretation of urine cultures using artificial intelligence with composite reference standard discrepant resolution. Journal of Microbiological Methods

# How does it work?




Image courtesy Clever Culture Systems

# Plate classification and sorting



The instrument classifies and sorts urine culture plates into 4 designation categories based on the likely significance of the culture.

**Probable  
Review** } ( $\geq 10^4$  cfu/mL)  
**Doubtful** ( $10^3$  cfu/mL)  
**No Growth**





Probable  
Review


Doubtful  
No growth











# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

Blood and MacConkey agar plates were manually inoculated with 1  $\mu$ L of urine then incubated in APAS carriers.



# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

At designated time intervals, plates that had incubated at least 18 hours were loaded into the APAS where they were sorted.


## Plating and reading workflow

Plate: 0700 - 1000    Read: 0700 (RED)

Plate: 1001 - 1800    Read: 1200 (GREEN)

Plate: 1801 - 2100    Read: 1500 (BLUE)

Plate: 2101 - 2400    Read: 1800 (PURPLE)



# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

Technologists blinded to the APAS results then evaluated and reported the cultures using established laboratory procedures.



# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## APAS classifications

N= 1028 Urine cultures

N= 871 (Growth)

N= 157 No growth

$\geq 10^5$  cfu/mL  
N= 427

$10^4$  cfu/mL  
N= 238

Unable to enumerate  
Swarming Proteus  
N= 13

$10^3$  cfu/mL  
N= 193

George, M and S. Giglio 2023 Can an automated plate reading system for urine cultures create a more efficient laboratory workflow? Presented ASM Microbe 2023

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## APAS versus manual plate assessment and reporting

APAS	Manual			
	No growth	$10^3$ cfu/mL	$10^4$ cfu/mL	$\geq 10^5$ cfu/mL
No growth	152	1	2	2
$10^3$ cfu/mL	110	77	4	2
$10^4$ cfu/mL	44	96	90	8
$\geq 10^5$ cfu/mL	4	13	156	254



# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

The APAS accurately identified 98.1% of the negative cultures when No growth and Doubtful plate designations were considered a negative test and Review and Probable classifications were considered a positive test. There were 10 cultures that were read as a negative test by the APAS but were resulted as a positive test by laboratory staff.

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

Description of test discrepancies	
APAS No growth	1 culture with $10^4$ presumptive <i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i> * 1 culture with $10^4$ mixed urogenital flora * 1 culture with $\geq 10^5$ <i>Candida glabrata</i> along with $10^3$ mixed urogenital flora * 1 culture with $\geq 10^5$ <i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i> *
APAS Doubtful ( $10^3$ )	2 cultures with $10^4$ <i>Candida glabrata</i> 2 cultures with $10^4$ mixed urogenital flora * 2 cultures with $\geq 10^5$ <i>Gardnerella vaginalis</i> along with $10^3$ mixed urogenital flora *

\* 8 of the discrepant APAS negative cultures were compared against manually read plates incubated for additional time because of observed fine growth on Day 1. The recovery of *G. vaginalis* and *Candida* species from clean catch or indwelling catheter samples has questionable significance. In cases of complicated UTI, where more invasive collection methods are used, these organisms are more likely to represent a significant finding. The APAS can be configured to send these types of cultures for technologist review on Day 1 if they are classified as a negative test so that they can be manually assessed and reincubated.

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## Albany Medical Center APAS culture reporting

	Reporting criteria	Impact on reporting workflow	Percentage of cultures
Positive culture	$\geq 10^4$ cfu/mL ( $\geq 10$ colonies on plate)	These cultures require technologist review	67%
Negative culture	$< 10^4$ cfu/mL ( $< 10$ colonies on plate)	Removed from workflow and reported using auto-verification	33%

- ✓ Straight catheter urines are flagged by the interface to be reviewed and re-incubated if negative on Day 1.
- ✓ Urine samples that are plated with 10  $\mu$ L of urine (e.g. obtained by cystoscopy or suprapubic aspirate) are incubated separately from APAS samples. However, if accidentally loaded on the APAS, these specimen types are flagged by the interface to be diverted for review.

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

N= 1028 Urine cultures

N= 871 (Growth)

N= 157 No growth

$\geq 10^5$  cfu/mL  
N= 427

$10^4$  cfu/mL  
N= 238

Unable to enumerate  
Swarming Proteus  
N= 13

$10^3$  cfu/mL  
N= 193

Auto-verified

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation


## Did the APAS save time?

- APAS average processing time for a negative test BAP was 17 seconds while manual negative test BAP reading time ranged from 13 – 26 seconds.
- The average negative test report turn around time was decreased by 2 hours during the first phase of auto-verification implementation.

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## Conclusions

- The APAS can accurately identify cultures with nonsignificant growth and clear them from the manual reading and reporting workflow allowing technical staff to focus their time on significant cultures.





# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## Conclusions

- Because the APAS system does not include the robotics to inoculate the specimen or an integrated incubator, the cost of the instrumentation was substantially lower than other automation systems making it more budget friendly for our facility.
- The APAS, with length and depth dimensions similar to a standard laboratory work table, was easy to install in the limited space within our laboratory.
- Training was easy and will allow for support from non-technical staff with loading and removal of sorted plates.

# Albany Medical Center Evaluation

## Conclusions

- The APAS was adaptable to already established lean laboratory workflows which allowed for better staff acceptance.
- LIS interfacing allows for auto-verification of negative cultures which reduces turnaround time for these reports.

# Thank you!

## Questions?

