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Objectives for Talk

• Evaluate different models of POC blood gas (etc) testing in the operating rooms for costs, test menu, and test volumes.
• Describe the need for intra-operative PTH measurements.
• Describe an ideal POC testing device for PTH measurements.
• Describe the operation and coagulation parameters reported by the ROTEM and TEG thromboelastography systems.
• Describe how ROTEM results guide therapy for bleeding during open-heart surgery.
Factors That Promote Increase In POC Testing

• Test panel or menu provides useful information.
• Testing requires minimal additional effort:
  – Testing is rapid and convenient.
  – Test ordering, billing, and documentation automatic (connected to lab information system).
• Analyzer has reliable accuracy and precision:
  – No puzzling results to investigate
  – Results agree with laboratory results
• POC testing improves finances, outcomes, and/or satisfaction of users/patients.
Connectivity Is Key to POC Testing

**Middleware Data Management System** (Within-Lab)

**ORs, Cath Labs:**
- BG, Lytes, Glu, Lact

**Blood Gas Lab** (ROTEMs)

**Emergency Dept:**
- [BG, Lytes, Glu, PT, hCG, Cardiac]

**Glucose Meters** (many locations)

**ROP Interface**
- (automatically orders and bills tests)

**HIS System** (Maestro) for ordering tests, meds, procedures, billing, viewing patient data and records

**Lab Information System** (Beaker)

**Data Viewing System** in ORs, ICUs, and work stations
In 2013, Duke Medical Center Opened a Major Addition: the Duke Medical Pavilion
(Blood Gas Lab on 6th Floor)
Duke Cancer Center at Left
The Duke Medical Pavilion: A Major Addition to Duke Medical Center

• In July 2013, the Duke Medical Pavilion opened.
• Many new operating rooms: all on an expanded 3rd floor connecting the older Duke North Hospital and the new Duke Medical Pavilion.
• The Blood Gas Lab moved from the 3rd floor Duke North Hospital to the 6th floor of the new Duke Medical Pavilion.
  – Lab now close to ICUs, but further from ORs.
• More POC testing would be needed.
## 2013: New OR Locations and Estimated POC Blood Gas Test Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Old Locations</th>
<th>New Locations</th>
<th>Old Test Volumes (Model 1a and 2a)</th>
<th>New Test Volumes (est) (Model 1b and 2b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR 6</td>
<td>OR 33</td>
<td>3600/yr</td>
<td>1500/yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 7</td>
<td>OR 34</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 8</td>
<td>OR 35</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 9</td>
<td>OR 36</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR 37</td>
<td>OR 37</td>
<td>5000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 49</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 51</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMP OR 57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400-3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pediatric ORs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>2400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluating Two Models of Point-of-Care Blood Gas/Electrolyte/Etc. Testing in Operating Room Areas

Model 1 (a and b): Single-use cartridges in hand-held device.

Model 2 (a and b): Multi-use reagent packs in stationary device.
Blood Gas Single-Use POC Model (1a and 1b)

• Blood gas analyzers with single-use cartridges used in operating rooms:
  – Used by perfusionists, CNAs, and (if needed) anesthesia technicians.
  – Supplies, maintenance, and regulatory responsibilities under Clinical Laboratories.

• Completed test is automatically ordered, billed, and archived in information system.
Blood Gas Multi-Use POC Model #2a (1985-2013)

• Blood gas analyzers with multi-use reagent packs used in 5 (very busy) cardiac/thoracic operating rooms and 1 peds cardiac cath lab:
  – Used by perfusionists, anesthesia technicians, and cath lab personnel.
  – Maintenance, quality control, and regulatory responsibility are under Clinical Laboratories.

• Completed test is automatically ordered, billed, and archived in information system.
• Blood gas analyzers with multi-use reagent packs used in 14 cardiac/thoracic operating rooms and 1 peds cardiac cath lab:
  – Used by perfusionists, anesthesia technicians, and cath personnel.
  – Maintenance, quality control, and regulatory responsibility are under Clinical Laboratories.

• Completed test is automatically ordered, billed, and archived in information system.
Disclaimer:
The following cost data are approximate costs based on quotes from manufacturers at different times and different test volumes.
## CVOR Blood Gas POC Model #1a
Blood Gas Analyzers with Single-Use Test Cartridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Card Menu</th>
<th>Cost / Panel</th>
<th>Test Vol / yr</th>
<th>Yearly Cost ($)</th>
<th>Average Cost/Test Menu*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH, pCO₂, pO₂, Na, K, Ca&lt;sub&gt;ion&lt;/sub&gt;, calc tCO₂, sO₂, Hct/Hb</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>$185,000</td>
<td>$10.50*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH, pCO₂, pO₂, lact, calc HCO₃, sO₂</td>
<td>$6.60</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>$122,100</td>
<td>$7.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls, Cals, etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 instruments (yearly cost rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$8,900</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes cost/yr of analyzers, test cartridges, and controls.
POC Model #2a: 5 Blood Gas Analyzers with Multi-Use Packs  
*(data from 2012)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Menu</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>OR Test Vol / yr</th>
<th>Yearly Cost ($)</th>
<th>Cost/ panel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR Panel</td>
<td>OR 6</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“</td>
<td>OR 7</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>$4.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“</td>
<td>OR 8</td>
<td>3200</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>$5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“</td>
<td>OR 9</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>$6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“</td>
<td>OR 37</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>$4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peds Cath</td>
<td></td>
<td>600</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>$30.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>18,500</strong></td>
<td><strong>$108,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5.85</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OR Panel:  pH, $pCO_2$, $pO_2$, Na, K, ion Ca, glucose, lactate, tot Hb, %$O_2$Hb, %$COHb$, %$metHb$
CVOR Blood Gas POC Model #1b
Blood Gas Analyzers with Single-Use Test Cartridge

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Card Menu</th>
<th>Cost / Panel</th>
<th>Test Vol / yr</th>
<th>Yearly Cost ($)</th>
<th>Average Cost/Test Menu*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH, $pCO_2$, $pO_2$, Na, K, Ca\text{ion}, calc tCO\text{2}, sO\text{2}, Hct/Hb</td>
<td>$10.00</td>
<td>28,550</td>
<td>$285,500</td>
<td>$10.80*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH, $pCO_2$, $pO_2$, lact, calc HCO\text{3}, sO\text{2}</td>
<td>$6.60</td>
<td>28,550</td>
<td>$188,400</td>
<td>$7.40*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls, Cals, etc</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 instruments (yearly cost rate)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$20,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Includes cost/yr of analyzers, cartridges, and controls.
## POC Model #2b: 14 Blood Gas Analyzers with Multi-Use Packs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Menu</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>OR Test Vol / yr</th>
<th>Yearly Cost ($)</th>
<th>Cost/ panel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OR Panel</td>
<td>OR 33</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
<td>$12.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 34</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 35</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 36</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 37</td>
<td>2650</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 49</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 50</td>
<td>4100</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 51</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 53</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 54</td>
<td>3100</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 55</td>
<td>3000</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 56</td>
<td>3800</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>OR 57</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>Peds OR #6</td>
<td>1750</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“ “</td>
<td>Peds Cath</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>“</td>
<td>“</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>28,550</strong></td>
<td><strong>$270,000</strong></td>
<td><strong>mean = $9.45</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Summary of Relative Costs of POC Blood Gas/Lytes: Models vs Test Volumes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th># Analyzers</th>
<th>Test Volume / Year</th>
<th>Average Cost / Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1a (single-use)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>$10.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1b (single-use)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28,550</td>
<td>$10.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2a (multi-use)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18,500</td>
<td>$5.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2b (multi-use)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28,550</td>
<td>$9.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. The test menus are distinctly different between the single-use and multi-use cartridges.
2. Assumed the cost per cartridge stayed the same for each test volume.
The Duke Clinical Pediatric Lab (CPED)

• A very busy lab offering chemistry, hematology, urinalysis, drugs, fetal/maternal testing and phlebotomy.

• Located amidst the PICU, NICU, Newborn Nursery, L&D on 5th floor of the Duke North Hospital for over 30 years.

• Recently a Peds Cardiac ICU (PCICU) was moved to expanded space on 4th floor.
  – PCICU now needs POC testing.

• Also, the Neonatal ICU now wants POC testing.

• More challenges for POC vs Lab testing:
  – One multiple-use stationary analyzer vs a few “hand-held” analyzers.
  – Test menus needed?
Pros/Cons of POC Model #1: Hand-held Single-Use Cartridge System

• Advantages
  – Excellent portability
  – Wider variety of tests available; ie. ACT, TnI, Chem panel
  – Financially suited to lower workload settings
  – Very good accuracy and reliability

• Disadvantages
  – A complete critical care panel may require 2 cartridges (adds time and $/test)
  – Cooximetry parameters are not measured
  – Requires IQCP 😞
Pros/Cons of POC Models #2: Multiple-Test Reagent Pack System

• Advantages
  – Cost, throughput, speed, and ease of use are well-suited for high test volume settings.
  – Complete BG/lytes/glu/lact/coox available with one analysis.
  – Excellent accuracy and potential agreement with laboratory results.

• Disadvantages
  – Very costly for low test volumes.
  – Changing reagent packs takes ~40 min.
  – Not portable.
  – Requires IQCP 😞 May not require IQCP 😊
Opportunities for Improved Assay Devices for Intraoperative Parathyroid Hormone (ioPTH) Measurements
PTH Workload at Duke Med Center:
Core Lab and Intraop PTH

Fiscal Year


PTH Core Lab

PTH Intraop

PTH Blood Gas Lab

PTH Intraop

PTH Core Lab

Fiscal Year

0  1000  2000  3000  4000  5000  6000  7000  8000  9000

0  500  1000  1500  2000  2500  3000  3500  4000  4500

PTH Core Lab

Fiscal Year
Facts about Surgery on the Parathyroid Glands

• The 4 parathyroid glands located behind the thyroid glands:
  – Each gland about the size of a grain of rice
  – Not related to thyroid glands in function
  – In ~90% of cases, only one PT gland is hyperactive.

• Types of hyperparathyroidism:
  – Primary HPTH due to parathyroid adenoma (a benign tumor)
  – Secondary HPTH due to renal disease.

• Surgery is the only treatment for hyperparathyroidism.
Rear-View Diagram of Parathyroid Glands in Relation to Thyroid Glands

- Carotid Artery
- Thyroids
- Parathyroid Glands
- Parathyroid Adenoma
Challenges in Providing Rapid PTH Results During Surgery

- Results needed as soon as possible:
  - Best available TAT is still 10-11 min.
  - POC location is highly desirable.
- Analyzer designed for main lab (not ideal for POC):
  - Requires a medical technologist to operate.
  - Specimens must be centrifuged.
  - Medical technologist is at POC and cannot help with other workload.
  - Requires 1.5-2 hrs (in addition to testing time) to set-up and shut down analyzer.
- Test volume is increasing.
Using Intraoperative PTH Measurements

• Formerly, 4-5 PTH measurements were taken:
  – Two baseline levels: (1) at induction of anesthesia; (2) after parathyroid glands are isolated.
  – 5 min post resection of parathyroid gland.
  – 10 and 20 min post resection of gland.
• Interpretation:
  – PTH level at 10 min should be \( \leq 50\% \) of the baseline value.
  – If not, wait a period of time then measure PTH again.
  – If level still not \( \leq 50\% \) of baseline, the neck is explored further for other abnormal parathyroid glands.
• Current use: More PTH measurements taken, including some on minced tissue samplings.
Percent Change in Intraoperative PTH in Patient with Two Enlarged Glands

![Graph showing percent change in intraoperative PTH over time after gland resection.](image-url)

- Percent Change over Time After Gland Resection (min)
- Percent of Baseline PTH Value

Point of Care 2007; 6: 253-260
Benefits of Intraoperative PTH Testing

• Helps identify multiple-gland disease that is present in approximately 12% of patients with primary hyperparathyroidism.

• Helps surgeon know that parathyroidectomy has been successfully completed:
  – Avoids having to tell the patient the next day that the operation did not cure the disease and they will need another operation.
Sample Stability for PTH

- PTH is not stable in blood circulation
  - $\frac{1}{2}$ life of 5-10 min.
- Depending on the assay, PTH is stable in serum/plasma for about 8 hours at RT.
- EDTA appears to stabilize PTH in plasma for 24 – 48 hours at RT.
What Is Needed for an Intraoperative PTH Test System?

- Small and portable analyzer.
- Easy test setup after days of non-use.
- No water or plumbing needed.
- No reagent preparation.
- Analysis on whole blood:
  - Saves time AND you do not need a centrifuge.
- Results ASAP, but ideally in less than 10 min.
  - Bench-type analyzer(s) has very few desirable features, but assay takes about 11 min.
Reducing Transfusions in Cardiac OR by Thromboelastography: TEG and ROTEM

- Cardiac surgery accounts for about 1/3 of all intraoperative transfusions.
- Mortality correlates linearly with the number of transfused blood products.
- When to give RBCs, platelets, fresh frozen plasma (FFP), fibrinogen (as cryoprecipitate)?
Evaluating Need for Fibrinogen/Cryoprecipitate in OR

- When to give cryoprecipitate (fibrinogen)?
- Multiple problems with giving cryo:
  - 1 dose of cryo exposes recipient to 10 donors!
  - Giving cryo empirically is often wasteful ($$).
  - But not giving cryo when needed can cause major problems.
  - Cryo has to be thawed to use (takes 20-30 min) and cannot be refrozen.
- A rapid WB fibrinogen assay would be very helpful.
TEG Analyzer:
Operational features:
• 2 test channels
• Automated testing system
• Liquid QC every 8 hours.
• Bar code scanner
Concept of Oscillating Thromboelastography (TEG)

Provides information on clotting factors, and platelet and fibrinogen activities.
Adding Platelet-Inhibiting Reagent in Rotational Thromboelastometry Gives a Functional Fibrinogen

The MA with platelet inhibitor added (plavix) is related to the fibrinogen activity.
ROTEM® Thromboelastometry

ROTEM® delta

Operational features:
4 test channels
Automated testing system
Touch screen monitor
Weekly LQC
Bar code scanner
ROTEM® Thromboelastometry Design

ROTEM’s shaft, spring, and ball bearing technology detects clot formation and uses an inverse function to display a tracing that resembles clot formation. It is less susceptible to movement or vibrations that can affect other viscoelastic methods.
The ROTEM Graph:
Records the Change in Amplitude vs Time

Time (results in sec)

Amplitude (in mm)

The greater the amplitude the firmer the clot

EXTEM, INTEM, FIBTEM or HEPTEM
ROTEM® Assays

**EXTEM** – Extrinsic activation (via Tissue Factor)

**FIBTEM** – Detects fibrinogen activity by adding Cytochalasin D to inhibit platelets.

**INTEM** – Intrinsic activation (via Ellagic Acid)

**HEPTEM** – adds Heparinase to remove heparin from sample.

**APTEM** – adds aprotinin to inhibit hyperfibrinolysis
Coagulation Cascade Related to ROTEM Tests

The three pathways that make up the classical blood coagulation pathway:

**Intrinsic (INTEM)**
- surface contact
- XII $\rightarrow$ XII$_a$
- XI $\rightarrow$ XI$_a$
- IX $\rightarrow$ IX$_a$

**Extrinsic (EXTEM)**
- tissue damage
- XII $\rightarrow$ Hageman factor, a serine protease
- XI $\rightarrow$ Plasma thromboplastin, antecedent serine protease
- IX $\rightarrow$ Christmas factor, serine protease
- VII $\rightarrow$ Stable factor, serine protease
- XIII $\rightarrow$ Fibrin stabilising factor, a transglutaminase
- PL $\rightarrow$ Platelet membrane phospholipid
- Ca$^{2+}$ $\rightarrow$ Calcium ions
- TF $\rightarrow$ Tissue Factor

**Common**
- thrombin (serine protease)
- fibrinogen $\rightarrow$ prothrombin
- fibrin $\rightarrow$ stable fibrin clot

Parameters in The ROTEM Graph

CT – start of clot formation (sec)

\(\alpha\) – angle – tangent to the clotting curve at 2mm (deg \(^\circ\)) – Related to fibrinogen activity

A10, A20, MCF (maximum clot firmness) – Amplitude (mm) at 10 min, 20 mins, or max.

LI30 – Lysis Index (% of clot remaining 30 mins after CT)

ML – Maximum Lysis (% of lysis)
### ROTEM Results and Clinical Interpretations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Suggested Clinical Interpretation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CT&lt;sub&gt;EXTTEM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Prolonged</td>
<td>Intrinsic factor deficiency (or influence of heparin)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT&lt;sub&gt;INTEM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Prolonged</td>
<td>Extrinsic factor deficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10&lt;sub&gt;EX&lt;/sub&gt; (or MCF&lt;sub&gt;EX&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Poor clot firmness due to decreased: platelets, fibrinogen, and/or Clot Factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10&lt;sub&gt;IN&lt;/sub&gt; (or MCF&lt;sub&gt;IN&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Poor fibrin contribution to clot firmness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10&lt;sub&gt;FIBTEM&lt;/sub&gt;, MCF&lt;sub&gt;FIBTEM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Poor platelet contribution to clot firmness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A10&lt;sub&gt;EXTTEM&lt;/sub&gt; – A10&lt;sub&gt;FIBTEM&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Reduced</td>
<td>Poor platelet contribution to clot firmness.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LI30</td>
<td>&gt;15%</td>
<td>Hyperfibrinolysis (RARE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ROTEM Parameters Used in IntraOP and P-P Bleeding Algorithm: CT, A10 and ML:

If $CT_{EX} > 70$ sec: give FFP 10-15 mL/kg (or profilnin)

If $CT_{EX} > 80$ sec: Consider FFP, cryoppt, Platelet concentrate, and/or vit K (less urgent) to correct fibrinogen.

If $A10_{FIB} \leq 13$ mm: give 5 U cryoppt

If $A10_{FIB} \leq 10$ mm: give 10 U cryoppt

If $A10_{EX} < 45$ mm and $A10_{FIB} > 12$ mm: give platelets

If $ML_{IN,EX} \geq 15\%$: consider anti-fibrinolytics
Example: ROTEM EXTEM and FIBTEM suggest fibrinogen deficiency
Are Either TEG or ROTEM Suitable for POC Use?

• Some hospitals have these at POC:
  – But, we have them in the laboratory (5 ROTEMs)
  – These are very challenging to have at POC:
    • Both use reagent bottles and require multiple manual pipetting steps.

• Usually needed at multiple ORs:
  – Not portable
  – Relatively expensive.

• Remote viewing at POC extremely helpful!
## Pipetting Steps in ROTEM Assays

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXTEM</th>
<th>FIBTEM</th>
<th>INTEM</th>
<th>HEPTEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pipet STARTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet EXTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet STARTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet INTEM reagent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Add air segment</td>
<td>Add reagent to sample cup</td>
<td>Add air segment</td>
<td>Add reagent to sample cup</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipet EXTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet FIBTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet INTEM reagent</td>
<td>Pipet HEPTEM reagent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ALL ASSAYS:**
- Add reagents to sample cup
- Pipet 300 uL blood to sample cup
- Mix and place onto pin to start test
# Comparison of TEG and ROTEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEG</th>
<th>ROTEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All reagents in a cup. Simply pipet blood into cup and start analysis.</td>
<td>For each type of assay, requires 2 pipetting steps before pipetting blood into cup and mixing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takes 25-30 min for results (MA)</td>
<td>Provides valid A10 result in 10 min after CT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approved for native blood. Can use citrated blood, but that gives different results and must be validated by lab.</td>
<td>FDA approved for citrated blood. Not critical to start assay within 4-5 min after collection.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Typically used the heparinase cup to see clotting profile during CABG with heparinization.</td>
<td>Overall, INTEM, EXTEM, FIBTEM, and HEPTEM give better clinical information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC every 8hr more expensive. Reagent test cups less expensive.</td>
<td>Weekly external QC less expensive. Reagents for 4 tests more expensive.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does not require IQCP 😊</td>
<td>Requires IQCP 😞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Actual, Totally True Incident (Years Ago) in Our Point-of-Care Glucose Testing Program

• A lab person in the POCT program called a caregiver about a result being an “outlier” on a proficiency test sample.
• Caregiver heard this slightly differently and told their supervisor: “The lab said I was an ‘out and out liar’ on my [PT] result.”
• Moral: Effective communication is a must in POC testing.